

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,030	SEARFOS III ET AL.	
	Examiner Prema M Mertz	Art Unit 1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema M Mertz (Primary Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Jonathan M. Dermott (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Mr. Dermott that claim 1 failed to recite "an isolated nucleic acid" (a 35 USC 101, rejection). Furthermore, the claims recited Figure numbers rather than the required SEQ ID NOS. Mr. Dermott would submit a supplemental amendment to obviate these rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required